ALABAMA DEPARTMENT
OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

In The Matter Of: Harold Martin Hilltop Grocery UST Facility ID No. 22048-133-017928 Lynn, Winston County, Alabama	)))))))	**PROPOSED** **CONSENT ORDER** No._____

PREAMBLE

Pursuant to the provisions of the Alabama Environmental Management Act, §§ 22-22A-1 to 22-22A-16, Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.); the Alabama Underground Storage Tank and Wellhead Protection Act, §§ 22-36-1 to 22-36-10, Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.); and the ADEM Administrative Code of Regulations (hereinafter "ADEM Admin. Code r.") promulgated pursuant thereto, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (hereinafter the "Department" or "ADEM") makes the following FINDINGS:

STIPULATIONS

1. Harold Martin is the registered owner of a regulated underground storage tank (UST) facility located at Hilltop Grocery, 21982 Highway 5, Lynn, Winston County, Alabama, designated as ADEM Facility I.D. Number 22048-133-017928.

2. ADEM is a duly constituted agency of the State of Alabama pursuant to §§ 22-22A-1 to 22-22A-16, Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.).

3. Pursuant to § 22-22A-4(n), Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.), ADEM is the State Environmental Control Agency for the purposes of federal environmental law, including 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991 to 6991(m), as amended.

4. ADEM is authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of the Alabama Underground Storage Tank and Wellhead Protection Act, §§ 22-36-1 to 22-36-10, Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.).

CONTENTIONS

5. Based upon an inspection dated August 26, 2009 of the UST facility and/or a review of facility records, the Department has documented the violations alleged herein.

6. Pursuant to ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-6-15-.45(3), upon implementation of delivery prohibition, it shall be unlawful for any regulated substance deliverer to deliver a regulated substance, and it shall be unlawful for owners and operators of UST systems to accept delivery of a regulated substance to an underground storage tank facility that is under delivery prohibition.

7. The owner accepted delivery of a regulated substance to an underground storage tank facility that was under delivery prohibition.

8. A Notice of Delinquency (NOD) dated February 24, 2009, was issued by the Department requiring annual leak detector test, annual spill bucket test, and cathodic protection test, with supporting documentation submitted to the Department.

9. On May 21, 2009, upon the Owner's failure to respond to the NOD dated February 24, 2009, the Department issued a Notice of Proposed Delivery Prohibition requiring the annual line tightness test and leak detector tests, the annual spill catchment basins test and the corrosion protection test, with supporting documentation submitted to the Department.

10. On June 10, 2009, the Department placed the facility on delivery prohibition.

11. On August 26, 2009, a Department inspection revealed that the facility had received fuel eighteen times between June 10, 2009 and September 1, 2009 while still on delivery prohibition.

12. Pursuant to § 22-22A-5(18)(c), Ala. Code (2006 Rpl. Vol.), in determining the amount of any penalty, the Department must give consideration to the seriousness of the violation, including any irreparable harm to the environment and any threat to the health or safety of the public; the standard of care manifested by such person; the economic benefit which delayed compliance may confer upon such person; the nature, extent and degree of success of such person's efforts to minimize or mitigate the effects of such violation upon the environment; such person's history of previous violations; and the ability of such person to pay such penalty. Any civil penalty assessed pursuant to this authority shall not be less than $100.00 or exceed $25,000.00 for each violation, provided however, that the total penalty assessed in an order issued by the Department shall not exceed $250,000.00. Each day such violation continues shall be a separate violation.

13. In arriving at the civil penalty assessed in this matter, the Department has considered the following:

(a) Seriousness of the Violation:

The Owner/Operator did not ensure that the facility was not on delivery prohibition. The violations impede the Department's regulatory authority over USTs for threats to public health, safety and the environment.

(b) Standard of Care:

The Owner/Operator did not exhibit a standard of care commensurate with applicable regulatory requirements.

(c) Economic Benefit Which Delayed Compliance May Have Conferred:

The Department has been unable to ascertain if there has been a significant economic benefit conferred on the Owner.

(d) Efforts to Minimize or Mitigate the Effects of the Violation Upon the Environment:

There are no known environmental effects as a result of the alleged violations.

(e) History of Previous Violations:

The Owner/Operator does have a history of previous violations.

(f) Ability to Pay:

The Owner/Operator has not alleged an inability to pay the civil penalty.

14. The Owner neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in this Consent Order. However, in an effort to cooperate with the Department and to resolve the alleged violations, the Owner consents to this Consent Order and agrees to abide by the terms herein.

15. The Department agrees to this Consent Order upon a determination that the terms are in the best interests of the citizens of Alabama in resolving the allegations contained herein without the unwarranted expenditure of State resources in further enforcement actions.

ORDER

Whereas the parties desire to resolve and settle the compliance issues cited above, the Department and the Owner consent to the terms and conditions of this Consent Order and agree as follows:

A. That, within forty-five days of the issuance of this Consent Order, the Owner shall pay to the Department a civil penalty in the amount of Two Thousand Five Hundred for the violations cited herein. Failure to pay the civil penalty within forty-five days after the issuance of this Consent Order shall constitute cause for the Department to file a civil action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama. Payment shall be made by Cashier's Check or Money

Order, payable to the Alabama Department of Environmental Management, addressed as follows:

Office of General Counsel
Alabama Department of Environmental Management
P.O. Box 301463
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

B. The parties agree that not later than fifteen days from the effective date of this Consent Order, Harold Martin shall submit to the Department a plan detailing how he will ensure that Delivery Prohibition will not be violated.

C. That this Consent Order shall apply to and be binding upon both parties, their directors, officers, and all persons or entities acting under or for them. Each signatory to this Consent Order certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Order, to execute the Consent Order on behalf of the party represented and to legally bind such party.

D. That, subject to the terms contained herein and subject to provisions otherwise provided by statute, this Consent Order is intended to operate as a full resolution of the violations alleged in this Consent Order.

E. That the Owner is not relieved from any liability upon the failure to comply with any provision of the Consent Order.

F. That, for purposes of this Consent Order only, the Owner agrees that the Department may properly bring an action to compel compliance with the terms and conditions contained herein in a court of competent jurisdiction, including, but not limited to, Montgomery County Circuit Court. The Owner also agrees that in any action brought by the Department to compel compliance with the terms of this Agreement, the Owner shall be limited to the defenses of compliance with this Agreement, *Force Majeure*, and physical impossibility.

G. The Department and the Owner agree that the sole purpose of this Consent Order is to resolve and dispose of all allegations and contentions stated herein. Should additional facts and circumstances be discovered in the future concerning the facility which would constitute possible violations not addressed in this Consent Order, then such future violations may be addressed in Orders as may be issued by the Director, litigation initiated by the Department, or such other enforcement action as may be appropriate, and the Owner shall

not object to such future orders, litigation or enforcement action based on the issuance of this Consent Order if such actions address new matters not raised in this Consent Order.

H. That this Consent Order shall not affect the Owner's obligation to comply with any Federal, State, or local laws or regulations.

I. That, should any provision of this Consent Order be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction or the Environmental Management Commission to be inconsistent with Federal or State law and therefore unenforceable, the remaining provisions hereof shall remain in full force and effect.

J. That any modifications of this Consent Order must be agreed to in writing signed by both parties.

K. That, by agreement of the parties, this Consent Order shall be considered final and effective immediately upon signature of all parties. This Consent Order shall not be appealable, and the Owner does hereby waive any hearing on the terms and conditions of same.

Harold Martin
(Signature)

Harold Martin President
(Please Print Name and Title of Authorized Officer)

Harold Martin

Dated: 11-2-09

Onis "Trey" Glenn, III,
Director

Dated: _____